cockleburs, which might have rendered it injurious to health. It was labeled in part: "Dependable Spinach."

On March 31, 1942, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

3112. Misbranding of canned spinach. U. S. v. 26 Cases of Canned Spinach. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 6245. Sample No. 84508.)

This product was not Fancy as labeled, because of the presence of yellow leaves and tough fibrous stems and leaves.

On November 18, 1941, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of New York filed a libel against 26 cases of canned spinach at Brooklyn, N. Y., alleging that the article was shipped in interstate commerce on or about April 12, 1941 by O. W. Bohannon, Inc., from Van Buren, Ark.; and charging that it was misbranded in that the term "Fancy" was false and misleading as applied to an article that was not Fancy because of yellow leaves and tough fibrous stems and leaves. The article was labeled in part: (Can) "Horn Brand Fancy Spinach * * * Onality Guaranteed Einborn's Inc. Distributors New York N. Y."

* * * Quality Guaranteed Einhorn's Inc. Distributors New York N. Y."
On February 4, 1942, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

8113. Misbranding of canned mixed vegetables. U. S. v. 49 Cases of Vegetables for Salad. Decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond for relabeling. (F. D. C. No. 6550. Sample No. 23705–E.)

The ingredients of this product were lima beans, diced carrots, asparagus tips, green peas, and green string beans, and its label failed to bear the common or usual names of these ingredients.

On or about December 24, 1941, the United States attorney for the Western District of Missouri filed a libel against 49 cases, each containing 24 15-ounce cans of mixed vegetables at Kansas City, Mo., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about December 2, 1941, by Clear Lake Cannery, Inc., of Upper Lake, Calif., from Oakland, Calif.; and charging that it was misbranded in that it was fabricated from two or more ingredients and its label failed to bear the common or usual name of each ingredient. It was labeled in part: "Good Things To Eat' Brand Vegetables for Salad."

On January 26, 1942, Fred Wolferman, Inc., having appeared as claimant, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be released under bond conditioned that it be brought into compliance with the law

under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

TOMATOES AND TOMATO PRODUCTS

3114. Adulteration of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 100 Cases of Canned Tomatoes. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 6394. Sample No. 81507–E.)

Examination showed that this product was undergoing progressive decomposition.

On December 12, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of New Mexico filed a libel against 100 cases, each containing 48 cans, of tomatoes at Santa Fe, N. Mex., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about January 3 and 10, 1940, by Geo. W. Goddard Co. from Ogden, Utah; and charging that it was adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance. The article was labeled in part: (Cans) "Ropak Brand Tomatoes With Puree Net Weight 14 Ounces Royal Canning Corporation Packers And Distributors Ogden, Utah."

On March 6, 1942, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

3115. Adulteration of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 84 Cases of Canned Tomatoes. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 5061. Sample No. 266–E.)

Examination showed that this product was undergoing progressive decomposition.

On or about July 8, 1941, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Georgia filed a libel against 84 cases, each containing 6 cans, of tomatoes at East Point, Ga., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about March 10, 1941; and charging that it was adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance. The libel alleged that the article had been shipped by Kemp, Day & Co. from New York, N. Y., as indicated by records collected at the time of examination of the product but subsequent investigation disclosed that this firm acted as an agent and that the